

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

File Copy

51

13th October, 1960.COCOM Document 3715.45/4COORDINATING COMMITTEERECORD OF DISCUSSIONONINTERPRETATIVE NOTE No. 8 TO THE INTERNATIONAL LISTSREGARDING ITEM 1545: UNITED KINGDOM PROPOSAL10th October, 1960

Present: Belgium(Luxembourg), France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States.

Reference: COCOM Document 3715.45/3.

1. The CHAIRMAN drew the Committee's attention to the United Kingdom Delegation's Memorandum (COCOM Document 3715.45/3), proposing an amendment in paragraph 5 of Interpretative Note No. 8 to the International Lists. He invited Delegates to express their views on the suggested new wording, reading as follows:

"5. Where f_1 (the frequency at which the modulus of the current gain in the common emitter connection is equal to 1) is quoted instead of f alpha, f_1 may be regarded as 0.8 times f alpha."

The Chairman recalled that the amendment consisted in the change of "1.2" to "0.8".

2. The UNITED STATES Delegate stated that his authorities could accept the change proposed by the United Kingdom Delegation.

3. The ITALIAN and NETHERLANDS Delegates stated that their authorities were still studying the suggested amendment and that they hoped to be in a position to reply at the following meeting.

4. The FRENCH Delegate stated that the competent French departments had submitted a voluminous report on the matter. He would endeavour to submit a summarised version to the Committee at a later meeting.

5. The GERMAN Delegate stated that his authorities agreed that the present text required amendment, since it seemed to be technically incorrect. They considered, however, that the same result as that desired by the United Kingdom Delegation could be reached by inverting the factors. The phrase would thus read:

"5. Where f_1 (the frequency at which the modulus of the current gain in the common emitter connection is equal to 1) is quoted instead of f alpha, f alpha may be regarded as 1.2 times f_1 ."

When translating the text of the Interpretative Note into German they had already made the appropriate change.

6. The ITALIAN Delegate suggested that the matter might conveniently be discussed in the course of the List Review.

7. The UNITED KINGDOM Delegate thanked those of his colleagues who had expressed views and said he would be happy to hear outstanding replies at the following meeting.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~